

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/567,143	Applicant(s) JANDACEK ET AL
		Examiner Maureen M. Wallenhorst	Art Unit 1797

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Daniel Nesbitt (Appl. Rep.).

Date of Interview: 21 January 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

3-5, 8-9, 12, 19, 23-28

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to cancel composition claims 3-4 and 12, to amend claims 5, 8-9 and 19, and to add new claims 23-28 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maureen M. Wallenhorst/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)